PROPOSAL FORM FOR AGENDA ITEMS FOR SCRUTINY COMMITTEES					
NAME OF SCRUTINY COMMITTEE	Communities Scrutiny				
DATE OF MEETING / TIMESCALE FOR CONSIDERATION	October 2013				
TITLE OF REPORT	Talented Athlete Grant				
1. Why is the report being proposed? (see also the checklist overleaf)	Need to identify funding sources from Town & Community Councils to fund a countywide Talented Athlete Grant.				
2. What issues are to be P scrutinised? U R	Agreement to continue countywide Talented Athlete Grant and identify process through which funding will be accessed				
<ul> <li>P 3. Is it</li> <li>O necessary/desirable</li> <li>S for witnesses to attend</li> <li>E e.g. lead members,</li> <li>officers/external</li> <li>experts?</li> </ul>	No				
4. What will the committee achieve by considering the report?	Support and advise on a process to seek funding for the grant				
<ul> <li>5. Score the topic from 0         <ul> <li>4 on aims &amp; priorities and impact (see overleaf)*</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Aims & Priorities 3	Impact 1			
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS					
REPORTING PATH – what is the next step? Are Scrutiny's recommendations to be reported elsewhere?	No				
AUTHOR	Rhian Roberts/Cllr Huw Jones				

Please complete the following checklist:

	Yes	No
Is the topic already being addressed satisfactorily?		No
Is Scrutiny likely to result in service improvements or other measurable benefits?	Yes	
Does the topic concern a poor performing service or a high		No
budgetary commitment?		
Are there adequate resources / realistic possibility of	Yes	
adequate resources to achieve the objective(s)?		
Is the Scrutiny activity timely, i.e. will scrutiny be able to		No
recommend changes to the service delivery, policy, strategy,		
etc?		
Is the topic linked to corporate or scrutiny aims and priorities?	Yes	
Has the topic been identified as a risk in the Corporate Risk		No
Register or is it the subject of an adverse internal audit or		
external regulator report?		

\*The following table is to be used to guide the scores given:

Score	Aims & Priorities	Impact
0	No links to corporate/scrutiny	No potential benefits
	aims and priorities	
1	No links to corporate/scrutiny	Minor potential benefits affecting
	aims and priorities but a	only one ward/customer/client group
	subject of high public concern	
2	Some evidence of links, but	Minor benefits to two
	indirect	groups/moderate benefits to one
3	Good evidence linking the	Moderate benefits to more than one
	topic to both aims and	group/substantial benefits to one
	priorities	
4	Strong evidence linking both	Substantial community-wide
	aims and priorities, and has a	benefits
	high level of public concern	

## SCORING

<b>Aims &amp; Priorities</b>	rities
------------------------------	--------

4	Possible topic for to be timetabled		Priority topic for Source of the second seco	
3				
-	Reject topic for Scrutiny –		Possible topic for Scrutiny – to	
2	topic to be circulated to members for information		be timetabled appropriately	
1	purposes			
0	1	2	3	4

Impact